

A Systematic Review on History Teachers Practices towards Teaching Values across the Curriculum

Norliza Mohamad^{a*}, Ahmad Johari Sihes^b, Normila Mohd Bohari^c,
Mazlili Suhaini^d, ^{a,c,d}School of Education, Faculty of Social Sciences and
Humanities, Universiti Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, ^bAssociate Professor,
School of Education, Faculty of Social Sciences and Humanities, Universiti
Teknologi Malaysia, Skudai, Email: ^{a*}norliza.mohamad1983@gmail.com

Values across the curriculum is an effort to integrate elements of values in the teaching and learning process in school subjects. Values education occurs in the social sciences and humanities courses including History education. The idea that history teachers should promote values in the curriculum is very significant and has always been debated even in the science and technology epoch. History teachers have pivotal roles in teaching values, albeit they face challenges. Several systematic reviews have been conducted on the History teacher practices in teaching of values. This study aims to explore the current research on History teacher practices towards teaching values across the curriculum. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Review and Meta-Analyses (PRISMA) are used to direct this systematic review and 18 related studies have been recognized and selected from databases Web of Science, Scopus, and Dimensions. Two research questions are applied in this review to obtain themes within the data, and two significant themes arose: teacher roles and teacher challenges. From the analysis of these two main themes, a further 10 sub-themes are presented. In order to support History teachers and values across the History education implementation, necessary provisions such areas as teacher professional development and teacher education need be made. Recommendations for future studies are provided.

Key words: *History education, History teachers, Systematic review, Values.*



Introduction

In the 21st century, countries of the world are facing economic, political, and social change and challenges. Many countries concentrate on their youth development to bring new opportunities for national success. However, most nations recognize that to sustain success and to advance development, knowledge, and skills are not enough and that excellent attitudes and values in their citizens are more crucial to the country's aspirations. The younger generation needs morals and civic values in every aspect of their behaviour (Halbert and Salter, 2019). Therefore, to create value among students, efforts to incorporate values across the curriculum have been implemented (Chowdhury, 2016). Values across the curriculum is an essential effort at reform in the curriculum and aims at social control (Staring, 2019).

History is seen as a subject that has an essential influence on the integration of values within the curriculum (Halbert and Salter, 2019; Mansfield, 2019). In general, History is a subject emphasizing past events in a country that are possibly exemplary to future generations. The vital function of the History curriculum is to contribute practical guidance by highlighting how past behaviours based are viewed based on universal moral rules: that good deeds accepted and that evil acts chastened. At all levels, History has always been seen as more than merely a discipline for investigating the past (Anbalakan, 2016; Kaya Yilmaz, 2008). Values in the History curriculum are made possible through the integration process, which is a combination of cognitive processes, affective processes, and values and this view of History's morals, values, and civics function has long persisted.

For some countries, history is a platform for indoctrinating values that are compatible with national aspirations. For example, the History Curriculum in Sweden has the objective of developing historical knowledge among students and fostering the values of democratic citizenship (Alvén, 2017). In the education system of most countries, History is a compulsory subject for all students regardless of social or ethnic background. Further, history subjects at school are a medium for unification of the various ethnic groups in the country (Ahmad, Rahim, Seman, and Salleh, 2010; Buckley-Zistel, 2009; Salleh, Mohamad, and Ambotang, 2013). The subject of History itself is a set of references that describes the events that occurred some time ago about the origins of an ethnic group until it formed a community in the country. The History subject is essential for the younger generation to bring about the spirit of love for a country, cultivating awareness of the need for united passion so that mistakes made in the past do not occur again (Nair and Sinasamy, 2017). However, not every student will continue their study in History and due to this, history teaching should not stress factual memories or historical thinking but concentrate more on promoting values among students and relate History to consciousness (Barton, 2012; Cavoura, 2009).



Methodology/Materials

This systematic review utilized the method called PRISMA to retrieve studies correlated to the History teachers' practices towards values across the curriculum from the databases support, namely Web of Science, Scopus, and Dimensions. PRISMA, as guidelines used to guide the systematic review process based on a four-phase flow diagram, through an identify phase, screening, qualification, extraction the data and analysis phases.

Prisma

PRISMA led this systematic review and consisted of a four-phase flow chart (Moher, Liberati, Tetzlaff, and Altman, 2009). PRISMA consistently to manage the systematic review process in the setting of medical science and health disciplines. However, in terms of retrieve and analysis articles with quality assessment, the PRISMA quite common of other studies included Social Sciences. In this study, the advantages of using PRISMA let a precise search of terms and associated with teachers' practices of values in the History curriculum. PRISMA supports researchers and reviewers to improve their review study in producing, presenting, and publishing.

Resources

Journal databases used are electronic and focused on the areas of education, social sciences, and humanities. Three central databases searched were the Web of Science, Scopus, and Dimensions. Web of Science is the leading database used in this review and provided by Thomson Reuters (ISI). Web of Science consists of more than 33,000 credible journals with the inclusion of 256 fields of study. Every publication indexed within Web of Science has passed through a rigorous evaluation process. Most Web of Science journals is written in English and have a high impact. The following database practiced in this review is Scopus, and it offered by Elsevier Science in 2004. Scopus is the most comprehensive searchable citation and abstract base with more than 22,000 titles of journals from more than 5000 global publishers. There is a type of field code function in the Scopus database. One of the significant field code functions is TITLE-ABS-KEY, and it is pointing to title, abstract, and keywords. This code functions beneficial to create more articles related to the author's study. Scopus comprises journals from high-level discipline includes life-science and social science. Scopus examined every year of journals in their lists to ensure the quality of publishing articles well sustained.

In order to select studies for this systematic review, few eligibility and exclusion criteria determined. For the first criteria, only journal articles with empirical data and published in the scholarly journal are allowed. This review excluded all the literature types published as

review articles, articles in conference proceedings, books, and chapters in a book. Following criteria, the searching efforts focus on articles published in English. The third principle, a timeline of 10 years of journal articles, taken between 2009 and 2019. Finally, parallel with the purpose and objective of this systematic review study, to examine History teacher practices towards values across the curriculum in school, articles focused on teachers or educators in higher education were rejected, see Table 1 below.

Table 1: The eligibility and disqualified criteria

Criterion	Qualification	Exclusion
Literature category	Journal (research studies)	Journal (review), book series, book, chapter in a book
Language	English	Non-English
Timeline	Between 2009 and 2019	<2009

Systematic Review Method

A review action begins in September 2019, and four phases concerned in the systematic review process. The early-stage recognised the keywords practiced in the search process. Based on preceding studies and glossary, keywords linked and parallel to History teachers, values, and values in the History curriculum used, see Table 2 below. At this level, 274 articles were retrieved using databases selected, Web of Science (24 articles), Scopus (129 articles), and Dimensions (121 articles). After the precise screening, 125 replicated articles were excluded.


Table 2: The search string applied for the systematic review action

Databases	Keywords applied
Web of Science	TS= (("history teacher" OR "history curriculum") AND ("value*" OR "value* education" OR "civic" OR "moral" OR "citizenship"))
Scopus	TITLE-ABS-KEY (("history teacher" OR "history curriculum") AND ("values" OR "value* education" OR "civic" OR "moral" OR "citizenship"))
Dimensions	("history teacher" OR "history practice") AND ("values" OR "value* education" OR "civic" OR "moral" OR "citizenship")

The screening was the second phase applied in this review. At this level, a total of 149 qualified to be reviewed. A total of 92 studies were excluded due not to fulfilling the inclusion criteria. Fifty-seven full articles were accessed for eligibility at the third phase. These 57 full articles were given attentive checking and assessment and a total of 39 articles

were eliminated due to some studies that did not concentrate on History teacher practices of values across the curriculum. The final phase of this review process ended in a result of 18 studies being accepted for in-depth analysis as visualised in Figure 1 below.

Figure 1. The flow diagram shows the action of the studies extract from the identification level to the final resulted studies.



(Adapted from Moher et al., 2009)

Data extraction and determination efforts

The 18 studies were evaluated and analysed based on the objective and the formed research question. The data were derived by extensive reading of the abstracts and full articles, and content analysis was applied to the full articles to recognize themes and sub-themes related to History teacher practices towards values across the curriculum.



Results and Findings

The systematic review efforts produced two significant themes based on the research question that linked to History teachers' practices towards values across the curriculum. The two main themes are teacher roles and teacher challenges. Four sub-themes emerged from teacher roles: teacher belief system, teacher attitudes, teacher knowledge and teacher skills. Six sub-themes developed from the teacher challenges: competency challenges, student concerns, time constraints, lack of support, ideology and political influence, and curriculum challenges.

Concerning the methodology applied in the selected studies, ten studies applied the qualitative method, six studies used the quantitative method, and two studies employed a mixed-methodology.

Table 3: The findings of 18 articles applied for further analysis

Author(s) /Country	Study design	Teachers' Roles				Teachers' Challenges					
		TBS	TK	HTS	TA	TCC	SC	LS	TC	CC	IPI
Ammert (2015) - Sweden	QL			√		√	√		√		√
Brooks (2014) - USA	QL			√			√				
Cavoura (2009) - Greece	QN	√	√	√	√			√		√	
Ecker (2018) - Europe	QN		√	√		√					
Gunduz (2019) - Turkey	QL	√			√						
Kello (2016) - Estonia	QL					√	√	√		√	√
Klein (2017) - Netherlands	QL	√				√	√	√	√		
Korostelina (2015) - Ukraine	QL	√	√				√				
Ledman (2015) - Sweden	QL			√		√	√	√	√	√	
Mooreng (2014) - South Africa	QL		√					√		√	
Sakki (2019) - Europe	QN	√	√	√							
Sung (2009) - Taiwan	QN	√		√			√	√	√		√
Vijand (2018) - Estonia	QL		√	√		√		√	√	√	
Wansink (2017) - Netherlands	QN		√	√		√	√				
Weldon (2010) - South Africa	QL	√		√	√			√	√	√	
Woolley	MM			√	√	√	√	√			√

(2017) - United Kingdom											
Yazici (2017) - Turkey	QN	√			√						√
Zhumadullaeva (2016) - Kazakhstan	MM					√	√	√		√	
		8	7	11	6	9	10	10	6	7	5
QN=Quantitative; QL=Qualitative; MM=Mixed Method; TBS=Teachers' Belief System; TK=Teachers Knowledge;											
HTS=History Teaching Skills; TA= Teachers' Attitudes; TCC=Teachers Competency Challenge, SC=Students Concerns;											
TC=Time Constraints; LS=Lack of Support; CC=Curriculum Challenge; IPI=Ideology and Political Influence											

What are the History Teacher Roles of Values across the Curriculum?

The area History teacher roles in implementing values across the curriculum was categorized into four sub-themes: teacher belief system, teacher knowledge, history teaching skills and teacher attitudes.

Teacher Belief System

A total of eight out of 18 studies concentrated on teacher belief systems as History teacher perceptions towards values across the curriculum. Teacher beliefs system refer to personal teacher views based on their principles, identity, religion, ideology, education, and experience. Teacher beliefs will reflect teacher personality, action, practice, decision and level of their commitment to their teaching task. History teacher beliefs very crucial and are significant to the History teacher practise with values across the curriculum. History teachers must ensure their belief system will support History education. Cavoura's (2009) study indicated that History teachers already set as an objective of teaching history, to develop student personalities.

Teacher believe that student achievements are an indicator of History teacher success. Cavoura (2009) added that History teacher professional identity is a negotiation between teacher faiths and the school system. A study by Gündüz, Aktepe, Sulak, Bapinar, and Büyükkarçi (2019) pointed out that most History teachers believe that culture is an integral part of teaching values in History. History teachers indicated that culture is the sum of the society, and the essential value in culture that needs to be sustained in history teaching is the patriotism value. However, the History teachers define culture using different meanings

based on their beliefs, religion, and experience, and this will reflect teacher practice in teaching values to their students (Gündüz et al., 2019).

Teacher Knowledge

Seven studies focus on teacher knowledge as roles in History teacher practices of values. Professional knowledge critical for History teachers to relate with their professional identity, and teachers without knowledge will experience an effect on their identity in teaching values (Cavoura, 2009). Teachers need to equip themselves with the fundamental knowledge and pedagogical knowledge (skills to teach) in terms of teaching values in the History curriculum (Ecker, 2018). Korostelina (2015) reveals that History teachers recognized that teaching history is a medium for the development and creation of student views towards their nation and understanding more about values.

Teachers need to know student level of development in terms of teaching values (Korostelina, 2015). Moreeng and Tshelane (2014) study found that History teacher level of knowledge relies on school leadership support and characters, and it will impact on teacher pedagogy in the context of values. Sakki and Pirttilä-Backman (2019) study showed that History teachers in 10 countries in Europe, interpreted the concept of national identity and patriotism in various meanings based on their national aspiration. Teachers need to equip themselves with professional knowledge that relates to country educational objectives. Wansink et al. (2017) stated that History teachers should focus on historical knowledge function in sharpening individual moral consciousness.

History Teaching Skills

Eleven studies focused on History teaching skills is a crucial role for History teachers practise towards values teaching. Similarly, Sakki and Pirttilä-Backman (2019) study noted that historical consciousness is very important for History teachers, and encourages teachers to develop moral virtues and patriotism (values). Wansink et al. (2017) stated that History teachers need analytical thinking which means the skills to examine causes and consequences of historical events while teaching values and moral objectives. In line with Ledman (2015) study found that teachers need to encourage critical thinking among students and emphasize aspects of historical thinking skills. History teachers need to have the ability to nurture student interest in historical events as this will encourage students to understand history better and benefit from the past.

Scientific and critical thinking skills are also crucial in History because teachers can help students make logical and evidence-based connections Ledman (2015). Wansink et al. (2017) also added that all skills such as memorizing, critical, constructivist, perspectives-taking,



moral purpose and collective-identity objectives are interrelated to achieve a moral objective and other objectives in History education. Brooks' study revealed that there are teachers who encourage students to identify historical persuasions that involve moral and values. In teaching the value of History, teachers should respond to every student's ideas and questions by providing advice, sharing their opinions and presenting relevant data (Brooks, 2014).

Discussion sessions should be conducted so that students are exposed to mental maturity. The focus of teacher skills on the application of values in History is given to the development of communicative skills, collaborative skills and the design of media literacy (Ecker, 2018). In the teaching of History and its relevance to the formation of national values, teachers should have a deeper understanding of one or more special topics or themes (Ecker, 2018). Teachers also need to gain a deeper understanding of methodological ways related to research skills (building research, research processes, using information, thinking deliberately, implementing, generating and communicating information). Additionally, history teachers are encouraged to attend academic discussions, and workshops on written, oral and digital communication. Ammert (2015) a study found that teachers believe that students will more interest in values when teachers use filming strategies. Ammert (2015) study reveals that teachers need skills to develop understanding and empathy among their students. Teachers believed that students would have a greater understanding of the historical occurrence and the values behind, and it will help them to reflect in historical perspectives ways (Ammert, 2015).

In line with this, Sakki and Pirttilä-Backman (2019) reveal that teachers felt critical thinking skills was very crucial in terms of teaching History and teaching values in History. Mastering critical thinking skills by teachers have a dominant influence on students learning skills, and students will have broader views in a historical framework. Sung and Yang (2009) study found that teachers did not use many teaching skills while delivering values identity to students; they tend to focus more on their beliefs and try to influence students with the same perspectives. Teachers need to equip themselves with methodological skills while teaching values to students. Cavoura (2009) found that history teachers need to comprehend the concepts and disciplines of history, professional knowledge of history and all relevant factors in the field of history, including values in the history curriculum.

Teacher Attitudes

Six studies focus on teacher attitudes and have an essential place in History teacher practises of values education. Teacher attitudes will reflect teacher action toward values implementation. Brooks (2014) found that History teachers perceived that giving advice and sharing issues to students will increase student interest in values in the curriculum. History teachers also affirmed that teachers must show their patriotism values first in order to

inculcate patriotism values among their students (Gündüz et al., 2019). Teachers also have encouraged to show their interest in values activities to their students, and it will influence their students' behaviour. Teacher attitudes also relate to teachers beliefs. Kello (2016) study reveals that History teachers prefer to avoid a conversation when coming into sensitives issues with their students and teachers leaving the truth open naturally. Furthermore, teachers felt very risky and not suitable for students due to their age factor to discuss controversial topics (Kello, 2016). Teacher attitudes are fundamental to reach the aims of history education (Brooks, 2014; Gündüz et al., 2019; Kello, 2016; Weldon, 2010; Woolley, 2017; Yazici, 2017).

What Do History Teachers Recognize as Challenges towards Values Across the Curriculum?

The area History teachers recognize as challenges in implementing values across the curriculum as categorized into six sub-themes: competency challenge, students concern, lack of support, time constraints, curriculum challenge and ideology and political influence.

Competence Challenge

A total of nine studies out of 18 studies reported that competence is one of the main challenges of History teachers practised of values across the curriculum. Competence is a combination of knowledge, skills, and attitudes abilities to perform tasks. All teachers need competence for successful education aims. Teachers felt a lack of domain knowledge, such as they do not understand the concepts of values (Zhumadullaeva et al., 2016). Klein (2017) study revealed that there is an unmatched knowledge competency among history teachers at the same school. There are teachers who have limited knowledge about the history, and that makes it difficult for teachers to teach the topic and cannot associate history with the hidden values behind historical events (Klein, 2017). Teachers reveal concerns about their abilities and skills in knowledge and understanding of history and use primary sources of history in teaching (Ledman, 2015).

History teachers encountered challenges in skills competency, such as to promote values in History teaching to students in vocational secondary education. Students felt fewer interests in History subject due to students more focus on technical subjects even though the History subject is compulsory academic subjects for them. Zhumadullaeva et al. (2016) reveal that History teachers also have challenges in determining the humanistic, ethical, and professional values for teaching activities. Teachers seem to use standard methods of teaching to teach values such as the explanation of important values to students and presentations. Zhumadullaeva et al. (2016) found that teachers want to attend specific programs relate to values teaching and values education due they felt a lack of knowledge in those areas.



Ammert (2015) showed that History teachers observed quite challenging in terms of teaching content with ideological or moral connotations in History subjects. However, Vijand (2018) study revealed that teachers have skills to teach, but they still possess difficulties with what to teach, such as what values that associate to archaeology even though teachers know that archaeology can teach human values. Teacher identity was also considered as attitudes competency for teachers. Ecker (2018) a study found that teachers are confronted with the skills of increasing student mastery of critical thinking in History learning orientation, including value learning.

Students Concern

Ten studies reported that History teachers very doubt and concerns of students abilities in understanding and learning values in History and teachers believe students quite complicated to correlate with History (Ammert, 2015; Brooks, 2014; Kello, 2016; Klein, 2017; Korostelina, 2015; Ledman, 2015; Sung and Yang, 2009; Wansink et al., 2017; Woolley, 2017; Zhumadullaeva et al., 2016). Ledman (2015) revealed that teachers expressed concerned about vocational student abilities in terms of to realize the interconnection of historical consciousness with History subject. Teachers felt it was very hard to proffer possibilities for students to increase their historical consciousness. Teachers also noticed that students had limited previous history knowledge, overrated their knowledge, not excited to learn History, do not have the inner motivation, critical thinking capacities, and these will affect history learning (Ledman, 2015).

Teachers expressed concern for student ability in teaching history because they lack reading and writing skills, making it difficult to achieve the goals in the History curriculum (Ledman, 2015). Zhumadullaeva et al. (2016) study also show that teachers have similar concerns with the previous study about student abilities. Students concentrate only on shared values in History, such as patriotism and respect for the nation's symbols, but are unable to think more critically about the significant value of History and its relevance to life. Ammert (2015) noticed that History teachers felt it complicated for students to reflect and elicit empathy skills in History teaching. Wansink et al. (2017) study showed that students manage to view history subjects as a descriptive knowledge and image of prior events rather than as interpretation (hidden values). Woolley (2017) suggests that new or future History teachers need to understand students well and that this is one way to address the challenges of teaching sensitive moral issues in the History classroom. Therefore, History teachers will be more careful in teaching and presenting issues.



Lack of Support

A total of ten studies out of 18 studies mentioned lack of supports as a challenge for History teacher practises towards values across the curriculum. Zhumadullaeva et al. (2016) study found that teachers faced a lack of competence in teaching values due to insufficient development of the method of teaching values in teacher education. Zhumadullaeva et al. (2016) added that teachers expressed their feeling in attending the additional course in values pedagogy. Vijand (2018) study reveals that the Estonian teacher education system is a significant factor for problematic teaching History subjects includes archaeology and values. Klein (2017) study added that educational programs that have developed less influence of History teacher' skills in teaching History include instilling values. Kello (2016) study showed that History teachers felt less of support in teaching values because they have high demand from parents and nations to ensure the students have excellent academic achievements rather than historical understanding.

Teacher professional development has a crucial role to play in prepare future teachers to become competent in showing values to students (Weldon, 2010). Lecturers or teacher educators must help pre-service teachers examine their aims of teaching history (Sung and Yang, 2009). Woolley's (2017) study affirmed that teacher education must have a balance in the development of History teachers. Curriculum leaders or school leaders also have significance in the implementation of values in the History curriculum. School context influences teacher response and student performance for values implementation in the History curriculum (Cavoura, 2009). History teachers also face the challenge of lacking historical support when they have to relate past events to student understanding more effectively (Klein, 2017).

Time Constraints

From this review, six studies reported that time constraints as a challenge for History teachers practiced of values. Teachers mentioned a limited time allocation for History subjects made it very hard for instruction includes instil values in History teaching (Ledman, 2015). History teachers expressed concern about student understanding of the concept of History, causing teachers to neglect the element of value due to the limited allocation of history lessons. History teachers require to teach value, but due to insufficient time causes teachers to focus more on mastery of the content (Ledman, 2015). Teachers expressed dissatisfaction when they could go in-depth discussion about the content of the History course due to limited allocated time teaching. Klein (2017) found that teachers need more time in History subject for students able to analyze historical sources. Another study used the factor of time constraints as an opportunity for teachers to avoid the discussion on controversial issues with their students (Kello, 2016).



History teachers feel that the discussion of sensitive issues needs long-term preparation because teachers need to allocate time to plan, determine appropriate teaching materials, and provide students with a thorough explanation (Kello, 2016). Limited time among history teachers affords them less opportunity to meet with colleagues from other institutions or schools to discuss historical teaching such as methods, problems and teaching of values (Weldon, 2010). Sung and Yang's (2009) study revealed that teachers spend more time giving lectures than engaging in activities in teaching national identity during teaching history and this is related to the pressure of teachers to provide students with a proper understanding of the social identity process. The challenges of time are also faced by history teachers for teaching archaeology because the time allotted is limited (Vijand, 2018).

Curriculum Challenge

A total of seven studies out of 18 studies mentioned curriculum is one of the challenges for History teachers practiced of values across the curriculum. For example, the new History Curriculum for TVET aspires to provide relevant History knowledge by emphasizing the developmental elements of History thinking; however, teachers face challenges in the new curriculum, where teachers express difficulty in interpreting the history curriculum objectives into the needs of TVET students (Ledman, 2015). At the same time, teachers expressed difficulty in integrating the text in the History curriculum with their TVET student characteristics and capabilities. According to Ledman's (2015) study, teachers found that TVET students are seen tending towards the technical subject rather than the complex thinking in History.

To foster the development of values in the History curriculum, the courses 'Man and Society' and World History taught in schools. The challenge is that teachers need to ensure 29 values included in the curriculum must convey to students, and student understanding of those values should be enhanced (Zhumadullaeva et al., 2016). Sakki and Pirttilä-Backman (2019) study of 10 European countries found that history teachers had to adapt to the ever-changing curriculum depending on country aspirations and the current state of affairs. Teachers also expressed concern about the emphasis on teaching either in the contents of History textbooks or sensitive and controversial issues that need to be related to teaching history (Kello, 2016). Teachers have full responsibility to determine the teaching flow and pedagogical in History curriculum that relates to values implementation (Cavoura, 2009; Kello, 2016; Ledman, 2015; Moreeng and Tshelane, 2014; Sakki and Pirttilä-Backman, 2019; Vijand, 2018; Weldon, 2010; Yazici, 2017; Zhumadullaeva et al., 2016).



Ideology and Political Influence

Five studies reported that ideology and political influence is the challenge in terms of History teacher practices towards values education. Identity orientation such as ideology and politics have a significant influence on History teacher pedagogy due to a change of regime in Taiwan (Sung and Yang, 2009). History teachers focus more on national identities in the History curriculum rather than History knowledge, but teachers tried to influence their student perspectives to have the same beliefs as they did. Sung and Yang (2009) study in line with Ammert (2015) reveals that political aspects often influence educational areas. Ammert (2015) study found that political elites often interfere with education, especially the History curriculum as a tool to reach aspiration of political agenda and influence people. History teachers have pressure and faced a challenge from parents, community, and politics in terms of teaching civics and values in sensitive and controversial issues (Kello, 2016). Studies by Woolley (2017) also reveal that teachers try to avoid discussing sensitive issues in the classroom. In the early stages of lesson planning, teachers stated they were ready to discuss sensitive issues but at the middle-level teachers were worried that they could not balance the discussion and attend appropriately and effectively to political issues (Woolley, 2017).

Discussion

This systematic study was conducted to identify available empirical studies of historical teacher practices on teaching across the curriculum. Values in the curriculum have been a very important element in the past and are increasingly emphasized in the modern world. The community realizes that while pursuing the advancement and focus of science and technology, value is crucial to sustaining existing progress and creating more success in the future. History is a subject that has been given priority in education because of its inherent elements of value. Many national educational systems globally have focused on the subject of history by introducing the terms civic, citizenship and moral values.

This review has used three databases, namely Web of Science, Scopus and Dimensions and these three databases have resulted in 18 articles relating to the history of teacher practice in teaching values across the curriculum. Two themes were developed based on the research questions and in-depth readings involved in the Literature Review of this research. There are ten sub-themes derived from detailed analysis and all of these themes are frequently repeated in selected articles.

For the teacher roles theme, there are four sub-themes which are teacher beliefs system, teacher knowledge, history teaching skills and teacher attitudes. The possible influence of teacher belief system on values is the teacher personal and professional backgrounds. History teachers responded that it was essential to have a positive attitude to teaching values in the



History curriculum. According to Petersen (2010), the experience will affect teacher belief systems such that teacher who experience living in a racial state like South Africa, reflect that impact on their activities in the history classroom. The teachers have a high awareness of human values in history curricula such as respect and care due to their experience.

This review found that History teacher knowledge was very crucial in terms of values implementation (Cavoura, 2009; Ecker, 2018; Korostelina, 2015; Moreeng and Tshelane, 2014; Sakki and Pirttilä-Backman, 2019; Vijand, 2018; Wansink et al., 2017). However, this review reveals that teachers talked explicitly about History objectives related to values in curriculum and the importance of values, but there were few references to History teacher knowledge, skills, and strategies in the implementation of values (Klein, 2017; Wansink et al., 2017; Woolley, 2017). For History teachers, there were clear goals for the values in their History lessons, but the values were quite general, and specific values for the History subject seem very limited (Vijand, 2018). The teacher approval for each conception of values in History was differentiated. Approval of values concepts reveals yet another critical teacher perception that values in History depend on national aspirations, as reference by some teachers (Wansink et al., 2017). Teachers are also continuously found to question how to achieve the set objectives (Wansink et al., 2017).

For the teacher challenge theme, there are six sub-themes related to the History teacher practise of values education: competence challenge, student concern, lack of support, time constraints, curriculum challenge and identity and political influence. This review reveals that teachers have difficulty in implementing specific values in History education with students. History teachers endured difficulty in developing values among students related to historical consciousness (Alvén, 2017). Teachers felt it a complicated task and they it was of note in this research also that teachers could not easily use their power to force students in values education (Ammert, 2015; Ecker, 2018; Kello, 2016; Klein, 2017; Ledman, 2015; Vijand, 2018; Wansink et al., 2017; Woolley, 2017; Yazici, 2017). Teachers felt they could not be a dictator to instil values, but at the same time, they have a pledge to inculcate History values to students (Sung and Yang, 2009).

Alvén (2017) found that teachers tried to utilize historical consciousness to create awareness for students, but teachers believed it is tough if the values of school do not relate to the development values of the specific subject and student values. Teachers met obstacles and pressure in terms of social transformation because they had living experience during the apartheid movement. They needed to control and avoid personal judgment while teaching History values to their students (Petersen, 2010). A teacher's main concern must be for their students; it is a demanding and exhausting task. Educational systems that emphasize exam orientation, such as excellent grades to get into university, make History a focus on knowledge and facts. Although there are elements of high-level thinking skills in the exam

questions, students are more focused on mastering the facts. Much time is spent studying and answering techniques for the exam questions. Alvéen (2017) suggested that teachers need to be more positive and open to promoting historical awareness, such as allowing students to engage in open dialogue as they see students' ability to express themselves from different viewpoints. At the same time, teachers need to monitor the conversation.

Teacher felt a lack of support to implement values in the History curriculum (Weldon, 2010) and revealed that they need additional courses in terms of teaching values (Zhumadullaeva et al., 2016). History teachers also felt they have lack of support to teach archaeology, and the related aspect includes the values (Vijand, 2018). Teachers pointed out they have the demand to prepare students to become responsible citizens but they did not receive appropriate programs of teacher professional development to achieve those aims (Weldon, 2010). Curriculum leaders also have a major influence on History teacher practices toward values across the curriculum. Moreeng and Tshelane (2014) study found that curriculum leaders do not support teachers for promoting democratic citizenship in classrooms. For the History curriculum challenge, teachers are encouraged to perform a significant role in the critical elements. However, the demands of the education system bind teachers and at the same time, wish to fulfil the country's aspirations in the implementation of values and this is similar with Alvéen (2017) study found that teachers face the challenge of the curriculum, where the values available are inclusive and are very culturally unexpected.

Teachers have to integrate History values with Historical facts in order to raise awareness of the historical narrative to the students but at the same time, need to use the teacher's approach and decision (Alvéen, 2017). Therefore, the element of value has been set aside even though values can be incorporated into the teaching and function of answers to the high-level skills questions of the History subject. A few studies reveal that History teachers have a concern that they did not have enough time to implement values across the curriculum due to the allocation for History subject in school timetable very limited (Klein, 2017; Ledman, 2015; Weldon, 2010). The time constraints challenges in line with Alvéen's (2017) study, found that teachers faced with a lack of time in teaching history as they need to allocate time for promoting historical thinking, creating historical consciousness, and cultivating values such as making democrats. Moreover, ideological and political influence is inevitable in the History curriculum. This influence also affects the application of value elements in History.

According to Sant and Hanley (2018), the History subject does not only have vital values roles but is inevitably bound up with ideology and political influence. There are studies of History teachers who try to avoid teaching values in history because they believe the values embedded in the curriculum have been influenced by political agenda (Ammert, 2015; Sung and Yang, 2009), but this belief should not occur because teachers need to enact a role in controlling the situation. Teachers can explain context to students without favouring a



particular political party but rather provide examples that students can easily understand. It is true that politics greatly influences education, but teachers need to be smart in controlling their teaching situation so that students cannot make negative judgements (Carrington, Deppeler, and Moss, 2010).

Nevertheless, the teacher appearance and role is not merely the product of external forces and expectations, an automatic result of social circumstances. The challenge of History teacher practices of teaching values across the curriculum is to empower History teacher competence. Teacher training institutions needs to focus more on the process of teachers pedagogy of values and historical consciousness rather than the development of historical thinking for History teachers (Petersen, 2010). Professional development progress can be developed for History teachers to encourage their level of confidence and increase competence in terms of teaching values in the History curriculum. All stakeholders need to create a culture of collaboration to support History teacher practices in values education across the curriculum.

Conclusion

The millennium atmosphere of the twenty-first century and its growing social conscience and consciousness has influenced History teachers to extend and spread the teachings of History and much further, includes values across the History curriculum. The values learning embedded in History are excellent and exemplary lessons for everyone. The fundamental point is that unlike any other subject teacher, the History teacher has to be a pioneer in terms of values across the curriculum. History teachers have opportunity to co-construct precise meaning with their students for improved societal understanding of the values behind the established curriculum. This study has generated interest in teaching and implementing values across the curriculum.

The parallel development that needs to be mentioned here has been that of History teacher support in terms of values implementation in the History curriculum. The next point highlighted is that the articles selected in this systematic review, and found across multiple studies, can be a basic view and approach for stakeholders to enhance current initiatives for the quality of teaching and learning values in the History curriculum.

Acknowledgement: We are thankful to Universiti Teknologi Malaysia for providing the electronic databases and Ministry of Education Malaysia for sponsoring the scholarship that enables this study to be carried out.

REFERENCES

- Ahmad, A. R., Rahim, A., Seman, A. A., and Salleh, M. J. (2010). Malaysian secondary school history curriculum and its contribution towards racial integration. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 7(December), 488–493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2010.10.066>
- Alvén, F. (2017). Making democrats while developing their historical consciousness: A complex task. *Historical Encounters*, 4(1), 52–67.
- Ammert, N. (2015). On genocide and the Holocaust in Swedish History teaching. *Historical Encounters*, 2(1), 58–69.
- Anbalakan, K. (2016). Objectivity in history: An analysis. *Kemanusiaan*, 23(1), 21–33.
- Barton, K. C. (2012). Agency, choice and historical action: How history teaching can help students think about democratic decision making. *Citizenship Teaching and Learning*, 7(2), 131–142. https://doi.org/10.1386/ctl.7.2.131_1
- Brooks, S. (2014). Connecting the past to the present in the middle-level classroom: A comparative case study. *Theory and Research in Social Education*, 42(1), 65–95. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00933104.2013.860068>
- Buckley-Zistel, S. (2009). Nation, narration, unification? The politics of history teaching after the Rwandan genocide. *Journal of Genocide Research*, 11(1), 31–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623520802703608>
- Carrington, S., Deppeler, J., and Moss, J. (2010). Cultivating teachers' beliefs, knowledge and skills for leading change in schools. *Australian Journal of Teacher Education*, 35(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.14221/ajte.2010v35n1.1>
- Cavoura, T. (2009). Teaching history in multicultural environment and professional identity: The case of Greece. *International Journal of Learning*, 16(11), 179–188.
- Celikkaya, T., and Filoglu, S. (2014). Attitudes of Social Studies Teachers toward Value and Values Education. *Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice*, 14(4), 1551–1557. <https://doi.org/10.12738/estp.2014.4.1605>
- Chowdhury, M. (2016). Emphasizing Morals, Values, Ethics, and Character Education in Science Education and Science Teaching. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 4(2), 1–16.
- Cytrin, Y. (2018). Trends and Changes in Training History Teachers in Academia, and Its



- Interface With the Israeli Education System. *Journal of Education and Training Studies*, 6(5), 92. <https://doi.org/10.11114/jets.v6i5.3150>
- Ecker, A. (2018). The Education of History Teachers in Europe—A Comparative Study. First Results of the “Civic and History Education Study.” *Creative Education*, 09(10), 1565–1610. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2018.910115>
- Gündüz, M., Aktepe, V., Sulak, S. E., Bapinar, Z., and Büyükkarci, A. (2019). Cultural values defining Turkish nation: From the perspectives of history teachers. *International Journal of Instruction*, 12(2), 193–208. <https://doi.org/10.29333/iji.2019.12213a>
- Halbert, K., and Salter, P. (2019). Decentring the ‘places’ of citizens in national curriculum: the Australian history curriculum. *Curriculum Journal*, 30(1), 8–23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2019.1587711>
- Kaya Yilmaz. (2008). A Vision of History Teaching and Learning: Thoughts on History Education in Secondary Schools. *The High School Journal*, 92(2), 37–46. <https://doi.org/10.1353/hsj.0.0017>
- Kello, K. (2016). Sensitive and controversial issues in the classroom: Teaching history in a divided society. *Teachers and Teaching: Theory and Practice*, 22(1), 35–53. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13540602.2015.1023027>
- Klein, S. (2017). Preparing to Teach a Slavery Past: History Teachers and Educators as Navigators of Historical Distance. *Theory and Research in Social Education*, 45(1), 75–109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00933104.2016.1213677>
- Korostelina, K. (2015). Reproduction of Conflict in History Teaching in Ukraine: A Social Identity Theory Analysis. *Identity*, 15(3), 221–240. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15283488.2015.1057283>
- Ledman, K. (2015). Navigating historical thinking in a vocational setting: teachers interpreting a history curriculum for students in vocational secondary education. *Journal of Curriculum Studies*, 47(1), 77–93. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00220272.2014.984766>
- Mansfield, A. (2019). Confusion, contradiction and exclusion: the promotion of British values in the teaching of history in schools. *Curriculum Journal*, 30(1), 40–50. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585176.2018.1533483>
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., and Altman, D. G. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2009.06.005>



- Moreeng, B., and Tshelane, M. (2014). Transformative curriculum leadership for rural ecologies. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5(23), 850–858. <https://doi.org/10.5901/mjss.2014.v5n23p850>
- Nair, S. M., and Sinasamy, R. P. (2017). Patriotism among Secondary School Students and Its Relationship with their Interests towards Learning History. *Asian Journal of Education and Training*, 3(2), 110–117. <https://doi.org/10.20448/journal.522.2017.32.110.117>
- Paulson, J. (2015). “Whether and how?” History education about recent and ongoing conflict: A review of research. *Journal on Education in Emergencies* (Vol. 1). Retrieved from <http://www.ineesite.org/en/journal/vol1-num1>
- Petersen, T. (2010). Moving beyond the toolbox: Teaching human rights through teaching the Holocaust in post-apartheid South Africa. *Intercultural Education*, 21(SUPPL. 1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/14675981003732217>
- Sakki, I., & Pirttilä-Backman, A.-M. (2019). Aims in teaching history and their epistemic correlates: a study of history teachers in ten countries. *Pedagogy, Culture and Society*, 27(1), 65–85. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681366.2019.1566166>
- Salleh, M. J., Mohamad, B., and Ambotang, A. S. (2013). The Significant of History Curriculum in the Development of Active Citizen: A Critical Analysis of the. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 3(9), 1–8.
- Sant, E., & Hanley, C. (2018). Political assumptions underlying pedagogies of national education: The case of student teachers teaching ‘British values’ in England. *British Educational Research Journal*, 44(2), 319–337. <https://doi.org/10.1002/berj.3331>
- Staring, J. (2019). John Dewey, New Education, and Social Control in the Classroom. *SSRN Electronic Journal*, (December). <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3402841>
- Sung, P.-F., and Yang, M.-L. (2009). National identity and its relationship with teachers’ historical knowledge and pedagogy: The case of Taiwan. *Asia Pacific Journal of Education*, 29(2), 179–194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02188790902857172>
- Vijand, L. (2018). A critical look at archaeology teaching in Estonian high schools | Arheoloogia õpetamine gümnaasiumiastmes eestis. *Estonian Journal of Archaeology*, 22(2), 119–148. <https://doi.org/10.3176/arch.2018.2.02>
- Wansink, B. G. J., Akkerman, S. F., Vermunt, J. D., Haenen, J. P. P., and Wubbels, T. (2017). Epistemological tensions in prospective Dutch history teachers’ beliefs about the objectives of secondary education. *Journal of Social Studies Research*, 41(1), 11–



24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jssr.2015.10.003>

- Waterson, R. A. (2009). What is the Role of the Teacher? To Guide the Studies of Others. *Journal of College and Character*, 10(6). <https://doi.org/10.2202/1940-1639.1686>
- Weldon, G. (2010). Post-conflict teacher development: Facing the past in South Africa. *Journal of Moral Education*, 39(3), 353–364. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03057240.2010.497615>
- Wong, K. L., Lee, C. K. J., Kennedy, K. J., and Chan, J. K.-S. (2015). Hong Kong teachers' receptivity towards civic education. *Citizenship Teaching and Learning*, 10(3), 271–292. https://doi.org/10.1386/ctl.10.3.271_1
- Woolley, M. (2017). The attitudes and perceptions of beginning teachers in relation to teaching controversial issues in the history classroom Actitudes y percepciones de los profesores principiantes en relación a la enseñanza de temas polémicos en la clase de historia. *Revista Electrónica Interuniversitaria de Formación Del Profesorado*, 20(2), 1–16. <https://doi.org/http://dx.doi.org/10.6018/reifop.20.1.284561> The
- Yazici, F. (2017). Preservice History Teachers' Attitudes towards Identity Differences. *Higher Education Studies*, 7(3), 11. <https://doi.org/10.5539/hes.v7n3p11>
- Zhumadullaeva, A. A., Konyrbaeva, S. S., Duanbaeva, B. C., Kasymbekov, Z. A., Daniyarov, T. A., and Isaev, M. S. (2016). Applying the findings of scientific and pedagogical research in values education. *Mathematics Education*, 11(5), 1231–1243.