

# Rational Decisions in Communities Affected by Annual Floods in East Java, Indonesia

I.G.A.A. Noviekayati<sup>a</sup>, Djoko Budi Utomo<sup>b</sup>, Herlan Pratikto<sup>c</sup>, Moses Glorino Rumambo Pandin<sup>d\*</sup>, <sup>a,b,c</sup>Fakultas Psikologi Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya, <sup>d</sup>Faculty of Humanities, Universitas Airlangga Surabaya, Indonesia, Email: <sup>a</sup>[noviekayati@untag-sby.ac.id](mailto:noviekayati@untag-sby.ac.id), <sup>b</sup>[djokobudi@untag-sby.ac.id](mailto:djokobudi@untag-sby.ac.id), <sup>c</sup>[herlanpratikto@untag-sby.ac.id](mailto:herlanpratikto@untag-sby.ac.id), <sup>d\*</sup>[moses.glorino@fib.unair.ac.id](mailto:moses.glorino@fib.unair.ac.id)

Rational decision making is a systematic and logical way of creating resolutions. It is needed in critical situations, especially the unavoidable ones, such as annual floods. People affected by this natural disaster can continue living their lives if good rational decisions are made. This research paper consists of two studies. The first identifies rational decision making, based on age, education, socio-economics, and gender. The second is based on decisions associated with resilience, coping strategies, and age. A total number of 354 people from various cities in East Java were used as subjects for the study. In the first study, 58% of the people made good rational decisions, with the remaining 42% making low decisions. Furthermore, education was found to significantly influence the decision making process. The second study found a significant relationship between the resilience, coping strategies, and age with the ability to make rational decisions. The results of this study will be used to make better decisions for the communities in order to minimize physical and psychological impacts.

**Key words:** *Rational decisions, resilience, coping strategies, age, annual flood affected communities.*

## Introduction

A disaster is an unexpected event which usually leaves a huge adverse effect on people, both physically and psychologically, such as annual flooding. The ability of communities to deal with disasters varies greatly. However, a person's capacity to deal with disasters comprises of their ability to think critically with the appropriate coping strategies and resilience capabilities. Unfortunately, research on community's thinking ability, in terms of disaster is still minimal,

owing to the large concentration of studies on disasters aimed at mitigating and handling post-disaster in physical, emotional and behavioural characteristics. For example, a study conducted by Utomo and Supriharjo (2012) focused more on the identification and characteristics of hazard threat. Furthermore, Anwaruddin, Noviekayati, Saragih (2016) reported the resilience of flood victims. The research of Yunida, Kumalawati, Arisanty (2017) was based on the impact of flooding on socio-economic communities, while Marbaits, Noviekayati, and Meiyuntariningsih (2017) provided research on giving group counselling to flood victims in Madura.

The concept of rational thinking in this study is based on comprehensive thinking from Lindblom (1965). A person makes rational decisions based on problems with values and goals, and already has various alternative solutions. Decision making is actually not dealing with problems, but making inappropriate decision on the root of the problem. According to Jordan, Brooks, Delisi, Gray, Berkowitz (2015), the rational thinking ability is a high-level understanding which is difficult for untrained individuals. Furthermore, it is useful in solving life's daily problems. Toplak, West, Stanovich (2014) reported that rational decisions result from an individual's cognitive abilities through the developmental stages. This study analyzed the differences in individuals' cognitive abilities. High-level thinking is often analogous to perception, which is a very complicated event. According to Felin, Koenderink, Krueger (2016), all cognitive modelling, rationality, reasoning, or decision making is based on the concept of perception. It depends on the ability of individuals to adapt to the environment, competencies possessed, maturity in processing information, behavioural, and decision making. Therefore, someone's perception will affect the rationality or ability to make decisions. This can be derived and studied (Glynn, Voinov, Shapiro, White, 2017).

The way of thinking is very much influenced by its type, inherited habits, beliefs, and analytical concepts done repeatedly. In addition, it is also influenced by the number of groups and the current situation. Glynn, et al (2017) stated that there is a cogitative system of reasoning when humans think rationally. The first is based on a concept derived and developed into a second thought. The latter is related to the nature of consciousness, also known as objectively. Similarly, Rappange, Brouwer, and Exel (2014) reported that decision making is highly dependent on demographic characteristics, health behavior, experience, and the amount of information possessed by individuals, which directs them to making a rational decision.

This research will lead to developments in decision theory and cognitive science. Both theories exist in the field of psychology and refer to the individual differences that rational thinking and response are on the continuum line. It can be interpreted that an individual will think and respond very rationally (Stanovich, West, Toplak, 2016). There is another meaning of rationality that follows in the category model. De Sousa, (2007) defines rationality as the concept of reasoning, where people who are unable to think in this way are referred to as having



no reason. According to Etzioni (2014), rationality is a degree of thought or behaviour, from simple to complex, as conceptualized in a normative model. In the cognitive science concept, if someone thinks or behaves less humanly, they are referred to as having “irrational thinking”. In a disaster situation, the trauma is generally accompanied by psychological consequences. However, not all disaster situations results in trauma. Most times, this occurs in individuals with memories similar to present experience (Goodall and Lee, 2015). In addition, it can be caused by the selection process of alternative solutions in accordance with expectations and situations. The choice of alternative solutions is one product of rational decisions.

When traumatized, an individual needs adequate energy known as resilience in order to be free. With this, individuals become calmer (Sutcliffe & Vogus, 2003). In addition, the resilience is the ability to recover from trauma and the capacity to provide sustainable health and psychological well-being in facing further obstacles. It emphasizes the positive processes, norms and adaptations (Welsh, 2012). Similar to what Brick & Leckman (2013) reported, resilience is a process of strengthening the biological, psychosocial, structural, and cultural potentials to become prosperous. Age also affects the formation of resilience. The higher the age, the more complex the problems faced by individuals, thereby, leading to adaptability.

Coping is a constant cognitive and behavioural change to manage the external and / or internal demands valued as the potentials owned by individuals (Lazarus & Folkman, 1984). It is a dynamic process, not a trait. Trait is a settled character, while coping is a complex and variable attribute, which changes in accordance to demands. It is learned and not inherent. Trait is not an attempt to master a situation, but to accept it (Forsythe, 2010).

Age is important as the more mature a person becomes, the higher their ability to solve problems. The higher the pressure or stress gained by an individual, the greater the effort to find a solution to the problem. (Aldwin, Skinner, Zimmer-Gembeck, Taylor, 2001; Welsh, 2012).

Annual flooding is an unexpected and unavoidable event that occurs in a community and region. The struggle formed in this society is strongly influenced by culture, personality, and age. At a more mature age, individuals will have a better ability to cope. According to the Village Head of Iker-Iker and Ngablak (interviewed on 15 August 2018), people accepted the conditions even though the community suffered huge losses. It was further stated that the flood would always come whenever the farm is ready for harvest. Therefore, it is very rare for people to enjoy the agricultural products or ponds properly. However, the community can only submit all existing conditions to the Creator and feel that there is no need to move from the current location as it is considered a place of residence with lots of fortune and hope.

According to Rappange, Brouwer, and Exel (2014) in the research on rational decisions for healthy living, subjective life is at the age of 9-15 years, and amounts 57%. Subjects with higher socio-economic conditions also have a better possibility of subjective life. A research conducted by Stanovich (2010) stated that younger individuals use their lives more freely with preference to smoking, gaining excessive weight, and free sex, believing that life is still very long and can be used unwisely. Furthermore, the research conducted by Chen, Peng, Xu, O'Brien (2017) found that the higher the age, the more the tendency to use problem-focused coping. When examined, it was realized that positive and negative emotions were linked to the age range of 18-89 years. As a result of this, when individuals make rational decisions with respect to annual floods, the community tend to accept misfortune. This is associated with resilience, coping strategy, and age because when a community is trained to recover itself from the unpleasant events, clarity sets in.

## **Material and Method**

### **a. Subject**

In the first study, the subjects are the communities in: Iker-Iker and Ngablak villages in Gresik regency; Kanor village in Kanor sub-district, Bojonegoro regency; Kalisari village in Baureno sub-district in Bojonegoro regency, Batokan village in Kasiman sub-district, Bojonegoro Regency; Banjarjo village in Padangan district, Bojonegoro regency. The FGD subjects included 60 people from 2 villages in Gresik district. The scale fillers were 200 people from 4 villages in the Bojonegoro district.

The second study comprised of one-hundred and fifty-four Indonesians realized through a Google form search for 1 week (in September). Most of the subjects are residents on East Java.

### **b. Measuring Instrument**

In the first study, the Rational Decision scale was compiled based on the theories of Glynn, Voinov, Shapiro, White (2017). There are four aspects of rational decisions, namely, bias, value, belief and heuristic with 36 valid items. The second study makes use of two measurement tools. These include the scale of resilience and coping strategies in addition to the rational decision scale.

The Resilience Measurement Tools are prepared based on Rutter's theory (1990) with 7 indicators, namely: (1) able to understand and give meaning to the situation, (2) able to be assertive and have a positive and optimistic orientation in the future, (3) ability to develop honest, supportive and qualified life, (4) have a strong desire to change lives for the better, (5) able to think of various choices, consequences, and alternatives in facing life challenges, (6)

able to see the bright side of life, laugh at oneself, and find happiness in any situation, (7) able to behave on the basis of conscience to live well and productively. The valid items are 16 items.

The coping strategy measurement tool is prepared based on the theory of Lazarus (1993). It uses 8 indicators, namely: (1) confrontative coping, (2) distancing, (3) self-controlling, (4) seeking social support, (5) accepting responsibility, (6) escape-avoidance, (7) problem solving, (8) positive reappraisal. 22 Valid items number were used.

### c. Reliability and Validity of Questionnaire

**Study 1:** Rational Decision Scale. Cronbach's Alpha by 0,943. The validity moved from 0,332 - 0,679.

**Study 2:** Rational Decision Scale. Cronbach's Alpha by 0,934. The validity moved from 0,399 – 0,677. Resilience Scale. Cronbach's Alpha by 0,928. The validity moved from 0,399 – 0,767. Coping Strategy Scale. Cronbach's Alpha by 0,885. The validity moved from 0,271 – 0,683.

## Results

### Study 1

- a. From 200 subjects analyzed, the rational decisions owned by the community affected by annual flood are as below:
1. A Very High Rational Decisions by 15 persons (7,5 %)
  2. A High Rational Decisions by 101 persons (50,5%)
  3. A Medium Rational Decision by 53 persons (26,5%)
  4. A Low Rational Decisions by 29 persons (14,5%)
  5. A Very Low Rational Decisions by 2 persons (1%)

Around 116 individuals (58%) have a good rational decision and 84 individuals (42%) have a medium to low rational decisions.

### Study 2

**Table 1:** Pearson Correlation of Rational Decisions

Variable	r	sig
Resilience	0.594	0.000
Coping strategy	0.621	0.000
Age	0.229	0.002

**Table 2:** Resilience, Coping Strategy, Rational Decisions VS Age

		N	Mean
Rational decision	19-22	49	217.3061
	23-25	32	252.7813
	26-30	41	260.4390
	31-35	14	286.3571
	36-40	9	244.8889
	41-45	3	235.0000
	<b>46-50</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>290.5000</b>
	51-60	2	218.0000
	Total	154	246.3052
Resilience	19-22	49	103.9592
	23-25	32	123.9688
	26-30	41	122.6098
	31-35	14	130.4286
	36-40	9	128.5556
	41-45	3	131.0000
	<b>46-50</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>143.0000</b>
	51-60	2	101.5000
	Total	154	118.4351
Coping strategy	19-22	49	122.4286
	23-25	32	141.4375
	26-30	41	148.4634
	<b>31-35</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>160.2857</b>
	36-40	9	147.2222
	41-45	3	147.0000
	46-50	4	156.5000
	51-60	2	137.5000
	Total	154	139.7597

Based on the table, it can be seen that in Study 1, 58% of the community make good rational decisions, with the remaining 42% having medium to low rates which requires intervention in order to improve the community knowledge.

Furthermore, in the Study 2, the researcher connected the rational decisions with resilience, coping strategy, and age. The result showed differences between these three aspects. The older individuals showed a better rational decisions, resilience and strategy coping than the younger

ones. The age group of 46-50 had a high mean value on rational decisions and resilience, while those of 31-35 have high mean value on coping strategy.

## Discussion

Based on the results of the previous analysis, it could be reported that some residence of East Java were able to make rational decisions on the annual floods experienced by adapting to the existing situation. The forms of adaptation are raising the foundation of the house, and putting valuables in a safe place (based on the results of the interview on August 15, 2018 with the head of the Iker-Iker village and Ngablak Gresik village in East Java).

As mentioned in the comprehensive theory (Lindblom, 1965; Etzioni, 2014), a rational decision maker has enough information on the various alternative solutions. This makes it possible to predict exact consequences of alternative choices, take into account the cost benefit principle and consider many interrelated problems. Individuals who are able to make rational decisions are able to distinguish one problem from another. In addition, goals for decisions were made rationally owing to the various alternative solutions to problems.

Age depicts an individual's capability in adapting to problems. The more mature a person is, the better in solving problems. Similarly, the higher the pressure or stress gained by that individual, the greater the effort to find a solution to the problem. The more mentally healthy a person is reflects their ability in forming coping strategies (Aldwin, Skinner, Zimmer-Gembeck, Taylor, 2001). It can be seen in table 2 that those in the 46-50 age group make best rational decisions. This shows that this age group is the most productive and experienced in solving problems. This study also supports the research conducted by Toplak, West and Stanovich (2014) which states that the development of cognitive abilities is in line with the stages of individual. The development stage of the 46-50 age group is the final adult age group before retirement. The decision making becomes more rational because of their mature cognitive abilities.

This group also has the highest resilience, which shows that life experiences in dealing with various problems allows them to overcome the trauma experienced during annual floods (Welsh, 2012). In this age group, individuals have achieved their welfare, making it easy for them to quickly adapt to unexpected situations.

Furthermore, the coping strategies owned by the age group 31-35 are Problem Focused Coping. In the event of an annual flood disaster, the ability to display comfortable behaviour by finding the best solution is in this age group. This happens because this age group is classified as an early adult group towards middle school, whose job is to find comfort in their lives now and in



the future. Therefore there is the desire to find solutions to problems compared to other age groups (Chen, Peng, Xu, O'Brien, 2017).



## REFERENCES

- Aldwin, C.M & Skinner, E.A., Zimmer-Gembeck, M.J.(2001). Coping & Self Regulation A Cross The Life Span in A. Freund & M.lamb (Eds). Handbook of Life-Span Development (vol 2). New York: John wiley
- Anwaruddin, H., Noviekayati, IGAA., Pratikto, H. (2016). Hubungan antara dukungan social dan kecerdasan emosi dengan resiliensi pada korban banjir. *Tesis*. Fakultas Psikologi Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya.
- Brick, C.P., & Leckman, J.P. (2013). Resilience in Child Development-Interconnected Pathways to Wellbeing : Editorial Commentary. *Journal of Child Psychology Vol 54.4*. 333-336
- Chen, Y., Peng, Y., Xu, H., O'Brien, W.H. (2017). Age Differences in Stress and Coping: Problem Focused Strategies Mediate The Relationship Between Age and Positive Affect. *The International Journal of Aging and Human Development*86 (4): 00914150772089. DOI: 10.1177/0091415017720890.
- De Sousa, R. (2007). *Why think? Evolution and the rational mind*. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Etzioni, A. (2014). Treating rasonality as a continuous variable. *Society*. 51. 393-400
- Felin, T., Koenderink, J., Krueger, J. I. (2016). *Rationality, Perception and The All-Seeing-eye*. DOI: 10.3758/s 13423-016-1198-z
- Forsythe, S.m (2010). Coping Behavior & Gender Differences in African American Adolescence. *Master's Thesis*. Pasific Unversity Retrived from: <http://commons.pasifico,ed/spp/137>
- Frank, R.H. (2004). *What price the moral high ground?*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press
- Glynn, P.D., A.A. Voinov, C.D. Shapiro, P.A, White. (2017). *From Data to Decision: Processing Information, Biases, and Beliefs for Im proved Management of Natural Resources and Enviromental*. DOI: 1002/2016EF000487.
- Goodall, J., Lee, C. (2015). *Trauma and Public Memory*. New York: Palgrave MacMillan
- Green, B.L., Grace, M.C., Vory, M.G., Kramer, T.L.,Glessner, G.C., & Leonard, A.C. (1994). Children of Disaster in The Second Decade: A 17 Years Follow Up of Buffalo Creek Survivors. *Journal of American Academy of Child, Adolescent Psychiatric*, 33.71-79. DOI: 10.1097/00004583-1994010000-00011
- Hurley, S., & Nudds, M. (2006). *The questions of animal rationality: Theory and evidence*. In S. Hurley & M. Nudds (Eds). *Rational Animals?*. 1-83. Oxford: Oxford University Press
- Jordan, R. C., W.R.Brooks, J.R. Delisi, S.A. Gray, A.R. Berkowitz. (2015). Ecology nature of science shared discussions and practices among ecologists and high school teacher. *Echophere 6 (11)*. 223
- Kurul, M., Kramer, T.L., Grace, M.C., Green, B.L. (2002). DAM Break; Long Term Follow Up of Children Exposed to The Buffalo Creek Disaster In A.M. La Greca, W.K, Silverman,



- E.M, Verberg & M.c, Roberts (Eds). *Helping Children Cope with Disaster & Terrorism* (pp.241-257). Washington, D.C: American Psychology association.
- Lazarus, R.S & Folkman, S.E.(1994). *Stress Appraisal & Coping*. New York: Springer Publishing Company
- Lazarus, R.S (1993). Coping Theories & Research: Past, Present, Future. *Psychosomatic Medicine*. Vol 55. 234-247
- Lindblom, C.E. (1965). *The policy Making Process*. Englewood Clifts: N.J. Prentice Hall
- Marbaitis, S., Noviekayati, IGAA., Meiyuntariningsih, T. (2017). Efektifitas Terapi Kelompok Dengan Logo Therapy Dalam Menurunkan Post Traumatic Stress Disorder pada Korban Banjir ditinjau dari Jenis Kelamin. *Tesis*. Fakultas Psikologi Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Surabaya
- O Afifi, T., MacMillan, H.L. (2011). Resilience Following Child Maltreatment: A Review of Protective Factors. *La Revue Canadienne de Psychiatrie*. Vol.56.5.266-272
- Pandin, M.G.R., Sumartono, Munir, M. (2019) The Ludruk Aesthetics Experience: East Java Traditional Humor. *Opción Journal (Special issue)*. Vol. 35, No.20: 1521-1538.
- Rahayuningsih, S., Matulesy, A., Rini, R.A.P, Pandin, M.G.R. (2019). The Local Government Transformation, The Big Five Personality and Anxiety. *Opción Journal* Vol. 35, No.88: 759-770.
- Rappange, D.A., W.B.F, Brouwer and J.V. Exel. (2014). Rational Expectations? An Explorative Study of Subjective Survival Probabilities and lifestyle across Europe. *Health Expectations*, 19. 121-137
- Sladek, R.M., Bond, M.J., Phillips, P.A. (2010). Age and Gender Differences in Preferences for Rational and Experiential Thinking. *Personality and Individual Differences* 49. 907-911
- Stanovich, K.E., West, R.F., Toplak, M.E. (2016). *The Rationality Quotient: Toward a Test of Rational Thinking*. Cambridge: The MIT Press
- Sucliffe, K.M. & Vogus, T.J. (2003). Organizing for Resilience in K.S. Cameron, J.E. Duttoni & R.E.Quinn (Eds). *Positively Organizational Scholarship: Foundations of A New of A New dicipline*. San Fransisco, CA: San Fransisco CA: Berrett-Koehler Publisher
- Utomo, B.B., Supriharjo, R.D. (2012). Pemintakatan Risiko Bencana Banjir Bandang di Kawasan Sepanjang Kali Sampean, Kabupaten Bondowoso. *Jurnal Teknik ITS*. Vol.1. No.1. Institut Teknologi 10 Nopember Surabaya
- Welsh, M (2012). Resilience and Responsibility: Governing uncertain in a kompleks World. *The Geographical Journal* Vol 180.1. 15-26
- West, R.F., Toplak, M., & Stanovich, K.E. (2008). Heuristics and biases as measures of critical thinking: Assosiations with cognitive ability and thinking dispositions. *Journal of Education Psychology*, 100, 930-941.
- Yunida, R., Kumalawati, R., Arisanty, D. (2017). Dampak bencana banjir terhadap kondisi sosial ekonomi di kecamatan Batu Benawa Kabupaten Hulu Sungai Tengah, Kalimantan Selatan. *Jurnal Pendidikan Geografi*. Vol.4 (4). 42-52.



### **AUTHORS BIOGRAPHY:**

I.G.A.A. Noviekayati is a lecturer in the Faculty of Psychology, at 17 Agustus 1945 University, Surabaya. Her last education is a Doctorate program in clinical psychology from the Faculty of Psychology, Gadjahmada University, Jogjakarta in 2012. Noviekayati is currently the Head of the Professional Masters Psychology Study Program. In addition to being a teacher, she also became a clinical psychologist practitioner, researchers on various topics, including: violence and abuse in children, adolescents and women, parenting, and disaster. She was also active in various stewardships, one of whom was in charge of the Indonesian Psychology Association in the East Java region for the period 2015-2019.

Djoko Budi Utomo is a lecturer at the Faculty of Psychology, University of 17 Agustus 2945, Surabaya. He is an educator, researcher and practitioner with studies on various topics in the field of research and community service. These include: Community development through the Science and Technology Science program for the IbM Society, violence and aggression in adolescents and disaster. He is also active as a counselor handling psychology problems at the 17 Agustus 1945 University Counseling Center.

Herlan Pratikto is a lecturer at the Faculty of Psychology University of 17 August 1945 Surabaya. As an educator, researcher psychologist and counselor, he handles various topics including: child care, violence and abuse in children, adolescents and women, Freedom Technique Spiritual Trainer, hypnotherapy, verbal creativity and disaster. He is also active as a hypnotherapist practitioner in handling psychological problems at the 17 Agustus 1945 University Consoling Center.

Moses Glorino Rumambo Pandin, born in Makassar, November 11, 1970, obtained his Bachelor Degree in Indonesian Philosophy, from Driyarkara Philosophy College Jakarta, in 1996. Since 2001, he has worked as a lecturer and researcher at the Faculty of Humanities, Airlangga University, Surabaya. His research fields in philosophy, psychology, health, medical and social science such as: Ethics, Aesthetics, Philosophy of Sciences, Performance Arts, Poetry, Educational Psychology, Organizational and Institutional Psychology, Disaster Behavior, Hospital Management, Bioethics, Economics Leadership and Human Capital Management Strategy.