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This study is concerned with conceptual model development, which examines the innovation influence on the relationship of organisational culture, entrepreneurial orientation and performance of large manufacturing firms in Pakistan. A total of 399 questionnaires were distributed to large manufacturing firms in Pakistan to assess the relationships between organisational culture, entrepreneurial orientation, innovation, and firm performance. The findings reveal that entrepreneurial orientation significantly influences the performance of large manufacturing firms in Pakistan. Meanwhile, the results also indicated that other factors such as organisational culture do not significantly relate to the manufacturing firm’s performance. Interestingly, the results had shown that the factor of innovation significantly mediated the relationships between organisational culture, entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance. Based on the results, it can be summarised that the mechanism used to enhance the innovative culture in the organisation will also contribute to the introduction, adoption and diffusion of innovations. Additionally, these factors would contribute to increased performance and achievement in the near future. Indeed, this statement is supported by numerous current and past studies conducted in Pakistan, where scholars found that innovation is the primary impetus of firm performance. However, there exists a very limited number of studies regarding the impact of innovation on organisational culture and entrepreneurial orientation in manufacturing firms in Pakistan. This study would lead to a significant contribution to
the prevailing literature by empirically examining the relationship between organisational culture, entrepreneurial orientation, innovation and firm performance.
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**Introduction**

The manufacturing industry is one of the economic sectors which offers multidimensional activities of various subsectors in Pakistan. Additionally, these industries bring various additional values and impact Pakistan's economic growth, such as by increasing the job opportunities and export activities. This sector is most vulnerable to factors such as government policies, infrastructure, trade agreements, workforce and R&D activities, innovations and access to energy supply. The manufacturing sectors include various industries ranging from textiles to agro-based industry, engineering goods, electrical goods and electronics, chemicals and small and medium enterprises. Surprisingly, since the last decade, these industries have experienced low-performance growth.

According to Daft (2000), performance can be defined as the firm’s ability to obtain the company objectives by utilising all of its resources efficiently and effectively. In other studies by Ling and Hong (2010), organisational performance is understood as the total achievement of organisational goals, either specifically or overall within a stipulated time frame. Performance comes from doing what someone is hired to do. Performance increases when the person tries and succeeds in doing it quicker and better than they were instructed (Saif et al., 2020). Numerous studies have highlighted the significance of entrepreneurial orientation (EO) on firm performance (Miller, 1983; Zahra and Covin, 1995; Lumpkin and Dess, 2001; Wiklund and Shephard, 2005). Indeed, EO is known as a firm-level concept which is strongly related to strategic management and the decision-making process (Covin and Slevin, 1991; Lumpkin and Dess, 1996). On the other hand, past and current studies note that the increase of corporate entrepreneurship importance is due to numerous factors such as globalisation, profit-oriented firm performance, global competition and insufficiency of traditional managerial techniques due to the market conditions changes (Arshad & Arshad, 2018; Morris & Kuratko, 2002).

Even though there are numerous studies on the EO concept, the most widely used EO concept is the one introduced by Miller (1983). The EO concept is then, further developed by Covin and Slevin (1989) and several other researchers. In 1996, this concept is later enhanced by Lumpkin and Dess. Previous existing studies indicated that EO had brought significant influence to firm performance levels (Zahra and Covin, 1995). During the early introduction of the EO concept, it is reported that this concept derived from a combination of three elements
consisting of a firm’s proactiveness, innovativeness and risk-taking. Collectively, these indicators of EO represent firm performance with respect to competition, business activities and technology (Miller, 1983). A more current study by Lechner and Gudmundsson (2012), suggested EO as the process, performance and business structure of a firm. In this case, firms with strong EO enable them to explore various new business opportunities. Therefore, it can be suggested that EO is one of the significant measures to business survival and its performance (Polat & Mutlu, 2012).

Besides EO, organisation culture (OC) is among the essential measures that influence an organisation and firm performance. Generally, an organisation or group is formed when at least two individuals join together to achieve similar objectives and several other similar aspects which bind them together. In particular, culture is known as the beliefs, values, norms, and assumptions shared by a group of people, which influence their behaviour within a particular time frame. Additionally, culture change occurs from one particular age group to another (Willcoxson and Millett, 2000; Hartnell, Ou, and Kinicki, 2011).

Numerous studies suggest that firm performance is significantly influenced by OC (Ahmad, 2012; Khan, Wafa, et al., 2020; Sokro, 2012). Other scholars stated that a firm's effectiveness and performance are strongly related to several factors such as innovative, adaptive and entrepreneurial culture, which serve as a source of competitive advantage to an organisation (Nazir & Lone, 2008; Rose, 2008). Additionally, OC helps to guide people’s behaviour in organisational associates. It controls how an organisation incorporate together and the internal processes to react to the encounters posed by the external environment (Arshad & Arshad, 2019; Davidson et al., 2007; Ojo, 2005; Rashid et al., 2003; Sokro, 2012; Willcoxson & Millett, 2000). Particularly, this study focuses on analysing the relationship of innovation roles within the elements of OC, EO and firm performance. Based on previous literature discussions, this study suggests that innovation acts as a mediating role to the elements of OC and EO-firm performance relationship. The other part of this study will discuss the variables in the literature review, research hypotheses, research model and methodology, the empirical results, research analysis and finally the research conclusions.

Review of Literature and Hypothesis Development

By reviewing the literature in detail, the impact of entrepreneurial orientation and organisational culture has been acknowledged as innovation leading to outstanding firm performance and also highlights the relationship of entrepreneurial orientation, organisational culture, innovation and firm performance.
Theory

This study is underpinned by the Resource Based View (RBV) Theory. This theory posited that the unique resources of a firm generate competitive advantages that lead to the performance and sustainability of the firm in the industry (Barney, 1991; Peteraf, 1993; Wernerfelt, 1984). Moreover, RBV places emphasis on a firm's competitive resources, especially on a firm's unique resources as they are crucial for growth. RBV consists of tangible and intangible capabilities. For this study, we focused on intangible capabilities namely entrepreneurial orientation, organisational culture and innovation.

Previous research conducted on EO (Wiklund and Shepherd, 2003; Ferreira, Azevedo, and Ortiz, 2011; Lee, Peris-Ortiz, and Fernández-Guerrero, 2011; Martins and Rialp, 2013), organisational culture (Hall, 1992; Zheng et al., 2010), and Innovation (Ahmad, 2015; Zafar et al., 2016) have made references to the theory of RBV. RBV postulates that there is a link between organisational resources, competitive advantage and organisational performance (Barney, 1991).

Entrepreneurial Orientation and Firm Performance

Several studies have found that high firm performance, growth and competitive advantage are strongly related to EO (Jogaratnam and Tse, 2006; Lee et al., 2011; Kraus et al., 2012). Additionally, the contribution of EO to firm performance has been extensively proven in numerous studies within the established countries (Tang and Tang, 2012). Nowadays, the significance of entrepreneurship can be seen due to the benefits that it offers to sustainable competitive advantage and firm performance within various business environments (Wiklund and Shepherd, 2003; Zahra, 1986).

To date, numerous empirical research has confirmed that a positive and significant association exists between EO and firm performance (Abebe, 2014; Dada & Watson, 2013; Khan, Hassan, et al., 2020). On the contrary, other research has found a weaker correlation (Dimitratos et al., 2004) or that there is no significant association between EO and firm performance (George et al., 2001; H. Li et al., 2005; Smart & Conant, 1994; Walter et al., 2006). Based on the previous results of related studies, it can be suggested that more research is needed to confirm the consistency of results, particularly within different regions and locations. Therefore, the following hypothesis is developed to test the relationship between EO and firm performance in large Pakistan manufacturing firms:

**H1:** There is a positive relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and performance of large manufacturing firms in Pakistan.
Entrepreneurial Orientation and Innovation

Meanwhile, the previous study has suggested EO as an element that encourages an innovative, proactive and risk-taking environment in a firm (Lumpkin & Dess, 1996). Strong EO execution helps to enhance the social ties between companies and generates more information that could develop new innovation for the benefits of a particular firm (Zahra and George, 2002). According to Li, Huang, and Tsai (2009), EO offers knowledge that helps to support the development of new innovation and market opportunities. A knowledge-sharing ability is obtained within an entrepreneurial environment, which contribute to assist the different departments in a firm.

However, Schindehutte, Morris, and Kocak, (2008) argued that different types of EO would respond differently according to types of innovation. A lower level of EO is linked to incremental innovation, whereas a higher level of EO is identified to strongly related to radical innovation. Similarly, Zhou et al., (2005) discovered that EO positively impacts breakthrough innovations. Hence, based on the earlier discussion, the suggested hypothesis developed is:

**H2:** There is a positive relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and innovation.

Organisational Culture and Firm Performance

Related empirical research has evidence that there exists a relationship between OC and firm performance. This statement is supported by the results of Duke II and Edet, (2012), where the study findings suggest that there is a positive link between OC and firm performance. In a study conducted by Kim, Nam, and Stimpert, (2004), the researcher had proof that culture plays a significant role in various stages of firm processes and performance. Meanwhile, Deal and Kennedy, (1982) evidenced that higher organisation performance is achieved with several situations. Firstly, when there is a strong organisational culture in a firm. Secondly, when the employee objectives are similar to the management goal.

On the contrary, several empirical types of research argue that there is no association between OC and firm performance (Yesil and Kaya, 2013). Even though there are several studies conducted to test the relationship of OC and firm performance in manufacturing firms (Su and Chen, 2013; Kull, Yan, Liu, and Wacker, 2014), service enterprises (Halkos and Tzeremes, 2011; Yesil and Kaya, 2013), and both industries (Tseng, 2010; Tidor, Gelmereanu, Baru, and Morar, 2012). However, these studies revealed inconsistent findings. Hence, it can be suggested that there is a need for this study to further investigate the relationship between OC and firm performance in developing countries, such as Pakistan. Based on the aforementioned discussion, the next hypothesis that can be drawn for this study is:
**H3:** There is a positive relationship between organisational culture and performance of large manufacturing firms in Pakistan.

**Organisational Culture and Innovation**

Generally, OC benefits an organisation by encouraging innovative behaviour among the employees. Hartmann (2006), stated that OC benefits the firm’s action by influencing the employee’s behaviour to produce new innovation to the company. Similarly, other studies found that OC is one of the significant factors in the innovation success of an organisation (Laforet, 2008; Tellis et al., 2009). However, the OC literature shows convergence in defining types of culture that support innovation. It is suggested in the previous study that when OC supports creative solutions, all of the issues encountered can be easily fixed in innovative ways (Lock & Kirkpatrick, 1995).

Indeed, past and current studies related to OC have identified that OC plays a significant measure in influencing the innovations of an organisation (Tushman and O’Reilly, 1997; Martins and Terblanche, 2003; Yang, 2007). Similarly, numerous researchers found a strong association between OC and innovation (Mumford, 2000; Martins and Terblanche, 2003; McLean, 2005; Menzel, Aaltio, and Ulijn, 2007). A study executed by Barney (1986), suggested that a source of competitive advantage is achieved when OC is anchored by an innovation.

Similarly, previous studies also suggested that firm innovation is the core element of OC (Tushman & O’Reilly, 1997). Based on the above discussion, this study suggested that an innovative culture benefits an organisation by identifying the issues encountered, at the same time providing an innovative solution for the company. Moreover, a culture supporting innovation helps in gaining a sustainable competitive advantage for a firm. Hence, the next hypothesis formed from the discussion is:

**H4:** There is a positive relationship between organisational culture and innovation.

**Innovation and Firm performance**

Several researchers suggested that innovative ability is the key to success for an organisation (Tushman and Nadler, 1986; Henderson and Clark, 1990; Utterback, 1994; Lieberman and Montgomery, 1998). Indeed, numerous studies have highlighted a strong association between innovation and firm performance in the context of various institutions, such as service organisations, public administration and industrial firms (Damanpour and Evan, 1984; Zahra, Belardino, and Boxx, 1988). According to the RBV theory, process innovation is known as a firm’s ability to present variations and enhancements in production technologies, work
organisations and processes (Damanpour, 1991). Shefer and Frenkel, (2005) discovered that innovation results in increased productivity, market share enhancement, efficiency (please indicate what kind of efficiency that you are referring to, i.e. employee efficiency, productivity efficiency) and higher profits. Besides increasing productivity, innovation also benefits in obtaining distinguished products and increased firm performance.

Similar to Wang and Ahmed, (2004), Leiponen (2005), and Tang (2006), it is important to note that this study would also centre its focus on the most commonly employed set, which are product and process innovation. On the contrary, there are few studies that found a negative association between innovation and firm performance. According to Greve (2003), there is no significant connection between innovation and firm performance.

A quite recent study by Arshad & Arshad, (2018), suggested that higher innovative capabilities are required for a firm to boost firm performance. Hence, this study believes that it is essential to conduct similar studies but in the context of a developing nation, particularly Pakistan. Therefore, the hypothesis that can be drawn from the above discussion is:

**H5:** There is a positive relationship between innovation and performance of large manufacturing firms in Pakistan.

**Innovation as a Mediator**

According to the strategic management literature, innovation is one of the significant concepts that adds values and ensures sustainable competitive advantages for a firm that experiences a multifaceted and fast changing commercial environment (Madhavan and Grover, 1998). This statement is supported by Javier et al., (2004), who suggested that firms with advanced innovation are more capable of responding to various business conditions.

Meanwhile, numerous studies have evidence that the innovation process is highly related to EO (Schafer, 1990; Barringer and Bluedorn, 1999; Harms, Schulz, Kraus, and Fink, 2009). Additionally, Hult et al., (2004) also narrated that innovation somehow mediates the link between EO and FP. Based on the literature discussed, it can be suggested that innovation is the key foundation of EO. Likewise, according to a study conducted by Zehir, Can and Karaboga, (2015), the results revealed that innovation performance mediates the impact of EO on firm performance.

Currently, numerous scholars have begun to focus research interest on the external and internal factors that mediate the link between EO and firm performance, instead of measuring the direct link between these two variables e.g. (Lumpkin and Dess, 1996; Zahra and Garvis, 2000; Wang, 2008; Alegre and Chiva, 2013). Therefore, this research would focus more on the
influence of innovation in determining the relationship between EO and the firm’s performance. Hence, the next testable hypothesis formulated is:

**H6:** Innovation mediates the relationship between entrepreneurial orientation and performance of large manufacturing firms in Pakistan.

A recent study showed that innovation significantly mediates the association between OC and firm performance (Kwon Choi et al., 2013). Numerous research has been carried out to identify the importance of the culture element in innovation criteria (Jassawalla and Sashittal, 2002; Lau and Ngo, 2004; Jamrog, Vickers, and Bear, 2006) and the direct influence of innovation towards firm performance. However, a very limited study explores the mediating role of innovation criteria between OC and firm performance (Tseng, Kuo, and Chou, 2008). Based on this statement, it can be argued that OC influences the performance results via other mediating factors (Tseng, 2010; Zheng *et al.*, 2010).

Nonetheless, there are very limited models and empirical studies conducted which examine the relationship between the elements of OC, innovation and firm performance (Deshpande *et al.*, 1993). Hence, this study is conducted to further investigate the mediating effect of innovation on OC and firm performance, particularly in large manufacturing firms in Pakistan. A testable hypothesis that can be formulated is:

**H7:** Innovation mediates the relationship between organisational culture and the performance of large manufacturing firms in Pakistan.

**Theoretical Framework**

Based on the conclusions from the review and discussions of previous studies, the following research framework is developed. The research framework in Figure 1 illustrates the associations that exist amongst the variables that have been studied in this study. The proposed framework (in Figure 1) has been underpinned by the RBV theory.
Figure 1. Proposed framework of the study

Methodology

Sample and Data Collection Instrument

The survey questionnaire is used as an instrument of the quantitative data collection of this study. As described by Zikmund (1994), the survey questionnaire method is used to explain a phenomenon and looks for the causes of any specific activity. Therefore, this study has adopted the current study method of self-administered surveys. This method is very popular and frequently employed by numerous business management studies particularly in quantitative research (Hair, Bush, and Ortinau, 2003). Hence, this method was chosen to obtain the required data for this research.

Dipping into the sample unit subjects, when the sample study units of the target population are limited, the researcher is required to select the whole population rather than taking a sample for the study (Zikmund, 2003). Since the population of this study involved large manufacturing firms in Pakistan, the list was obtained from the Pakistan stock exchange website. A total number of 399 survey questionnaires were distributed among large manufacturing firms listed in the Pakistan stock exchange. A total of 341 survey questionnaire were returned. Out of 341 surveys, 21 of the survey questionnaires were rejected due to incomplete answers, while the remaining 320 surveys were examined for the research findings.

Measurements

Independent variable: Following the vast majority of research conducted on EO that considered only three dimensions, namely proactiveness, innovativeness and risk-taking, this study employed the measure used by Covin and Slevin (1989) having a ten item scale. On the other hand, for organisational culture, a total of 24 items used were adopted from (Wallach,
1983) and numerous other researchers have adopted Wallach’s items. Bureaucratic organisational culture, innovative organisational culture and supportive organisational culture are three dimensions of organisational culture developed by Wallach (1983).

**Dependent variable:** Meanwhile, the dependent variable tested for this study is FP. In this study, the items measure for FP are adopted from the previous works of (Valmohammadi, 2011); and (Jaworski & Kohli, 1993). This study has utilised six items: sales growth rate, profitability, market share, customer satisfaction, the overall performance of firms relative to competitors, and overall FP to measure the performance of large manufacturing firms in Pakistan.

**Mediator variable:** In this study, innovation was used as a mediator variable. Particularly, the two dimensions applied under innovation subjects are product and process innovation. Both types of innovation were examined using five and ten items, respectively. The dimension and measurement scale of innovation used for this study is the one developed by (Camisón & Villar López, 2010) from (OECD, 2005).

**Measurement Scale:** The Likert scale is one of the measurements used in the survey questionnaire to obtain the related data for this study. Based on the research objectives and the hypothesis formulated earlier, the Likert scale is found to be the most suitable measurement to provide all of the required information for this study (Alreck & Settle, 1995). Thus, this study applied the seven-point Likert scale to measure all of the variables, namely; 1= Strongly Doesn’t Describe to 7= Strongly Describe (OC Wallach 1983), and 1= strongly disagree to 7= strongly agree (EO, innovation and FP).

A pilot study was carried out before the exact data collection process to test whether the researcher had possibly missed any important information during the exact data collection process later on. A total of 40 survey questionnaires were distributed among the respondents. Out of 40 surveys distributed, there were only 32 returned survey forms. However, out of 32 returned surveys, only 30 surveys were completed and used for the analysis. Based on the collected survey forms, the high response rate of 75% was obtained. The internal consistency of the data was measured by the coefficient of Cronbach’s alpha. The results of Cronbach’s Alpha for the survey questionnaire met the threshold values between 0.8 to 0.9. The Cronbach’s alpha value for each variable tested is shown in Table x below (to make the information more legible please put the information in a table form).

Furthermore, this research has used the structural equation model (SEM) and applied partial least squares (PLS) using Smart PLS 3.2.7 to measure both measurements and the structural model. The initial step of this research focuses on the measurement model (construct reliability
Results

Primary data analysis has been conducted to meet the assumption of the PLS-SEM. There are two essential approaches for Smart-PLS. The first step involves the evaluation of the measurement model. The second step is the structural model assessment.

Measurement Model Assessment

A study by Hair, Ringle, and Sarstedt (2013) and Hair et al. (2017) recommended a two-step processes in the assessment of PLS-SEM. This approach includes the determination of the measurement model and the structural model. According to Henseler, Ringle, and Sinkovics (2009), testing the structural model may be meaningless unless the measurement model has been evaluated. Therefore, the present study firstly assessed the measurement model before the structural model. The results from this study revealed that the composite-reliability (CR) value for firm performance is 0.913. Meanwhile, the composite-reliability (CR) value for innovation is 0.928, followed by entrepreneur orientation with 0.899 and organisational culture with 0.968 as shown in Table 1. The Cronbach Alpha value for firm performance is 0.885 which indicates excellent internal consistency. Meanwhile, the Cronbach Alpha value for innovation is 0.915, which indicates good internal consistency. The Cronbach Alpha value for entrepreneur orientation is 0.850 which indicates internal consistency and 0.965 for organisational culture, which indicates excellent internal consistency (refers to Table 1 and Fig. 2).

Table 1: Reliability and Validity of the constructs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CA</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>AVE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EO</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td>0.889</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOI</td>
<td>0.882</td>
<td>0.921</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOP</td>
<td>0.776</td>
<td>0.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EOR</td>
<td>0.880</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>0.885</td>
<td>0.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INN</td>
<td>0.915</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPI</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td>0.863</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPR</td>
<td>0.916</td>
<td>0.930</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCB</td>
<td>0.945</td>
<td>0.954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCI</td>
<td>0.908</td>
<td>0.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCS</td>
<td>0.910</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC_</td>
<td>0.965</td>
<td>0.968</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Note: EO = Entrepreneurial Orientation; EOI = Entrepreneurial Orientation (Innovativeness); EOP = Entrepreneurial Orientation (Proactiveness); EOR = Entrepreneurial Orientation (Risk Taking); FP = Firm Performance; INN=Innovation; IPI = Innovation (Product Innovation); IPR = Innovation (Process Innovation); OCB = Organisational Culture (Bureaucratic Organisational Culture); OCI = Organisational Culture (Innovative Organisational Culture); OCS = Organisational Culture (Supportive Organisational Culture)

Convergent-validity assessed by AVE indicates the values of 0.638 for firm performance, followed by 0.503 for innovation, 0.573 for entrepreneur orientation, and 0.559 for organisational culture as shown in Table 1. Discriminant validity for this model has been measured using Fornell-Larcker Criterion (Hair et al., 2010) as shown in Table 2. The results indicate that the square root of AVE (diagonal) is higher than the correlations (off-diagonal) for all reflective constructs.

Table 2: Forner-Lacker

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EO</th>
<th>FP</th>
<th>INN</th>
<th>OC_</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EO</td>
<td>0.757</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FP</td>
<td>0.683</td>
<td>0.798</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INN</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>0.741</td>
<td>0.809</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC_</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.848</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: EO= Entrepreneurial Orientation, OC = Organisational Culture, INN = Innovation, FP = Firm Performance
Structural Model Assessment

Once the reliability and validity have been achieved in the measurement model, we assessed the structural model. In the structural model we examined the path coefficient (Hypothesis testing) and coefficient of determination (R2 value). The coefficient of determination (R2 value) of this study was 59.7% and 55.7% in firm performance and innovation. For evaluating the path coefficient (hypotheses testing), we ran the bootstrapping in a Smart-PLS one-tailed test with a 5% level of significance to assess the P-Valve, and T-statistics to test the significance or insignificance of the hypothesis. Kenny (1986) is used to test for any mediation effect of innovation on the relationship between organisational culture and firm performance and between entrepreneur orientation and firm performance. The results of the structural model, also known as the inner model, are presented in Table 3 below.

As per analysis, the first hypothesis of this study H1 (i.e., Entrepreneur orientation is significantly related with firm performance), proved to be supportive with a 0.01 level of significance ($\beta=0.269, t=2.762, p<0.01$). The second hypothesis of this study, H2, anticipated
a positive association between EO and innovation. As shown in Table 3, EO has a significant and positive relationship on innovation (β = 0.265; t=3.533; p < 0.01). Based on hypothesis 3 (H3), the results obtained show that organisational culture is not significantly related to performance (β=0.059, t=0.705, p>0.05), hence H3 is not supported. Meanwhile, in hypothesis 4 (H4), OC reported a positive relationship with innovation (β = 0.517; t=6.892; p<0.01). Hence H4 was supported. Further, hypothesis 5 (H5) hypothesised that innovation was positively related to firm performance. The results support H5 (β = 0.503; t=6.667; p < 0.01). Likewise, the sixth hypothesis (H6) (i.e., Innovation mediates the relationship between entrepreneur orientation and firm’s performance) also proved to be supportive with a 0.01 level of significance (β=0.133, t=3.132, p<0.01). Finally, hypothesis 7 (H7) (i.e., Innovation mediates the relationship between organisational culture and firm’s performance.) was also proved to be empirical with at 0.01 level of significance (β=0.260, t=5.355, p<0.01).

Table 3

|        | Original Sample (O) | Standard Deviation (STDEV) | T Statistics (|O/STDEV|) | P Values |
|--------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| EO -> FP | 0.269               | 0.097                      | 2.762                    | 0.006    |
| EO -> INN | 0.265              | 0.075                      | 3.533                    | 0.000    |
| OC_ -> FP | 0.059              | 0.084                      | 0.705                    | 0.481    |
| OC_ -> INN | 0.517              | 0.075                      | 6.892                    | 0.000    |
| INN -> FP | 0.503              | 0.066                      | 7.667                    | 0.000    |
| EO -> INN -> FP | 0.133         | 0.043                      | 3.132                    | 0.002    |
| OC_ -> INN -> FP | 0.260      | 0.049                      | 5.355                    | 0.000    |
Discussion and Conclusion

According to numerous studies, innovation is found to be one of the important means for firms to stay competitive and ensure continued performance. Based on the results generated, this study had proven the same where innovation plays an essential aspect of a firm’s performance. As mentioned earlier, this research studied the relationships between the firm’s entrepreneurial orientation, organisational culture, innovation and firm performance. The results obtained for this study are strictly applicable only to the large manufacturing industry within the context of Pakistan. However, the extensive literature review conducted earlier concluded that perhaps the results obtained are applicable to other manufacturing sectors with similar cultures as well. Nonetheless, this study believes that future research will be needed for such generalisability. As outlined earlier, the purpose of this study is to propose a framework that represents the determinants of organisational performance. Based on the result generated, this study
concludes that innovation is an important variable in the success of any organisation. Moreover, based on the proposed framework, it is suggested that innovation can be improved through the application of entrepreneurial orientation and organisational culture.

On the other hand, the findings also reveal that there is a positive relationship between organisational culture and firm performance and these findings are in line with previous the studies of (Lewis, 1994; Lim, 1995; Ray, 1986; Willmott, 1993; Yesil & Kaya, 2013). It is important to note that in Pakistan, the majority of businesses or firms are operated by family members. In addition, the results of this study show that organisational innovations play a mediating role between organisational culture and firm performance as well as entrepreneurial orientation and firm performance. Moreover, the results prove that innovation explains a significant amount of variance in firm performance. Next, the findings specify that innovation is highly encouraged in an innovative organisational culture. The implication that can be drawn from these findings is that the mechanism is one of the methods that helps foster an innovative culture in an organisation.

Therefore, the results drawn from this study suggest that the company owner or decision-maker should consider introducing entrepreneurial orientation and innovation for greater firm performance. In conclusion, based on the overall results discussed earlier, it is hoped that this research will make a significant contribution to both academic and practical dimensions, particularly in manufacturing industries. It can be suggested that the relevancy, practicality and adequacy of the proposed framework could be validated for future research. Testing the proposed framework empirically in other developing countries would provide beneficial information to future professionals.
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